Generic Terms of Reference (TOR) Outlining the Scope of Work (SOW) for a Feasibility Study for Roads and Drainage (Upgrades/ Improvements) Projects

These generic TOR stipulates the professional consultant services expected by the First Nation. This document defines the general scope of work for doing a feasibility study project, the required technical standards, the expected completion schedule and other requirements.

Please feel free to make any edits to suit community's/ First Nation's requirements.

ISC website explains the contracting process for professional services:

Contracting for Professional Services (CN2)

It also provides generic high-level templates that can be used by First Nations for writing their own Request for Proposals; which include the following documents:

- A Letter of Invitation Attachment A
- A Terms of Reference Attachment B
- Proposal Evaluation Criteria Attachment C
- A copy of the "long form" contract document (\$10,000+) Attachment D or
- A copy of the "short form" contract document (\$10,000-) Attachment E

Table of Contents

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|----|--|-----|
| 2 | OBJECTIVES | 1 |
| 3 | DEFINATIONS | 1 |
| 4 | SCOPE OF WORK | 3 |
| 5 | REQUIREMENTS | 8 |
| 6 | PROJECT CONSTRUCTION PROCESS | 8 |
| 7 | PROJECT SCHEDULE | 8 |
| 8 | PROJECT COST | 9 |
| 9 | FIRST NATION'S PROJECT LEADER/ MANAGER | 9 |
| 10 | FUNDING REQUEST | .10 |
| 11 | CONSULTANT'S PROPOSAL | .10 |
| 12 | CONSULTING TEAM QUALIFICATION | .10 |
| 13 | TERMS OF PAYMENT AND COST CONTROL | .11 |
| 14 | OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS | .11 |

1 INTRODUCTION

| The intent of this document is to provide a terms of reference (TOR) for a feasibility study to determine | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| the optimal way to achieve | (insert name of the) | First Nation objective for roads and | | |
| drainage (improvements and/ or upgrades) | project in IR# | (insert name/ # of the | | |
| Reserve(s)). | | | | |
| This Section is intended to provide a descript | tion of the community, its i | location and site-specific | | |
| knowledge. | | | | |
| Projects should be derived from either the Fi | irst Nations Comprehensive | e Community Plan, Community | | |
| Development Plan, Physical Development Pla | an, latest ACRS Inspection | Report or based on a need to | | |
| improve, replace, or develop roads and drain | naae works. | | | |

2 OBJECTIVES

The objective of this feasibility study is to explore and evaluate various options for roads and drainage works. The Feasibility Study Report shall also identify works needed for improving existing roads and drainage works. The feasibility study report shall address community concerns, the overall project constraints and cost effectiveness.

A clear and concise description of the objective(s) that need to be met should be identified in this section.

Any and all options that should be reviewed are to be identified

- 3 **DEFINATIONSQualified Consultant** means a firm of Professional Engineers which has demonstrated training and experience to undertake the project. The firm shall be registered with Engineers & Geoscientists of British Columbia (EGBC) with an EGBC Permit to Practice.
- 3.2 Protocol for ISC Funded Infrastructure provides a listing of statutes, regulations, policies, codes, directives, standards, protocols, specifications, guidelines and procedures applicable under the Capital Facilities and Maintenance Program; and that the eligible recipients must comply with these requirements.

- 3.3 **Level of Service Standards** (LOSS) identify levels of service that may be funded from within existing budgets and from ISC program priorities of health and safety. These standards set limits on development which in turn affect the ISC Capital Planning Process.
- 3.4 Life Cycle Cost is mathematical procedure which describes the life cycle costs (e.g., construction, operations, maintenance, major maintenance and disposal) of an asset in terms of a rolled up current dollar amount which reflects the effects of monetary interest and price inflation. A life cycle cost analysis provides a hypothetical method of comparing competing options on the basis of which one makes better economic sense in terms of total costs.
- 3.5 **Class "D" Cost Estimate** is a preliminary estimate which, due to little or no site information indicates the approximate magnitude of cost of the proposed project based on the client's broad requirements. The overall cost estimate may be derived from lump sum or unit costs as identified in the construction cost manual for a similar project. It may be used to obtain approval in principal and for discussion purposes.
- 3.6 Class "C" Cost Estimate is prepared with limited site information and is based on probable conditions affecting the project. It represents the summation of all identifiable project components costs. It is used for program planning, establishing a more specific definition of client needs and to obtain project approval.

3.7 **Community Development Plan** deals with:

- i) Social-economic development for the community, and;
- ii) Planned land-use and type of future development.

3.8 **Physical Development Plan** deals with:

- i) Planned community physical services such as water, sewer, roads, utilities, etc., required to meet the development proposals of the Community Development Plan.
- ii) Other planned community services and facilities such as, but not limited to, recreation facilities, education facilities and health care facilities.
- iii) The provision of short-term capital plan, usually 5 years, to guide the community capital development.

3.9 Feasibility Study

Identifies options that can be implemented to meet project requirements; Examines the options in terms of engineering and economic feasibility; and Recommends a preferred option.

4 SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work of this study comprises but is not necessarily limited to the following:

- 4.1 Consultant shall organize and attend project meetings, as needed, with the project team members from ______ (name of the) First Nation, ISC, Circuit Rider, FNHA, regulatory agencies and other stake holders. They shall record minutes of project meetings, and provide copies to the project team.
- 4.2 Consultant shall allow for al least one Community Meeting to discuss the project's objectives and scope of work with the community members;
- 4.3 Review of existing relevant information including aerial photographs, topographic mapping, previous and current reports, plans, designs and as-built/ record drawings, and other information;
- 4.4 Visit the project site and meet with the Project Team to become acquainted with site conditions and concerns of ______ (insert name of the) First Nation, including population expansion, future demands on services, potential land acquisitions, existing land encumbrances and other relevant design parameters;
- 4.5 Background Information: including location, physical setting, community facilities, existing conditions, etc.
- 4.6 Review the First Nation's development and capital plans to determine if the conclusions and recommendations are still valid;
- 4.7 Discuss existing roads and drainage infrastructure and highlight the deficiencies and issues pointed out by the community, and noted in the last ACRS inspection report;
- 4.8 Current population and projected/ design population;

Any and all options that should be reviewed are to be identified here.

- 4.9 Examine advantages and disadvantages of each conceptual design option and its suitability in terms of:
 - Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) Level of Service Standards (LOSS): Roads and Bridges;
 Reference: Roads and Bridges (sac-isc.gc.ca)
 - ii) Climatic conditions;
 - iii) Land usage;
 - iv) Land encumbrance(s):

 Review all legal plans to determine if all or part of the works will be on Reserve land and clearly identify properties where the proposed (and existing) works encroach on any

property held by Band members under Certificate of Possession (CP), Notice of Entitlement or historical ownership. The Consultant should ensure that all works are on Reserve land or obtain written permission from the Project Manager and ISC accepting any off reserve encroachments. Negotiate permits with all outside agencies impacted by the proposed works. A property impact and setback drawing is to be included in the Feasibility Report

v) Environmental Review:

Initiate Environmental Review Project Description and prepare an environmental scoping study to outline any environmental impacts anticipated for the completed project.

Reference: Recently Environmental Review Project Description and Simple Form has been combined into a single form:

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS PROJECT DESCRIPTION (sac-isc.gc.ca)</u> - print only version.

The updated Environmental Review Project Description would need to be completed (complete Sections 1 and 2, in the first instance) and submitted to ISC, instead of using the IEMS portal on-line. IEMS portal has not yet been updated. Also, the projects are posted on Canadian Impact Assessment Registry by ISC, based on the information provided by the consultant. Please note: in general, an **environmental scoping report** must be completed in order to complete the *Environmental Review Project Description* Form

- vi) Archaeological Review;
- vii) Regulatory review: Regulatory jurisdictions that may be involved at this stage include:
 - Environment Canada wastewater and solid waste disposal;
 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada all works impacting fish bearing waters;
 - Transport Canada navigable waters;
 - BC Ministry of Agriculture and Lands land management;
 - BC Ministry of Environment fish and wildlife;
 - BC Ministry of Transportation public road access, works involving public roads;
 - Local municipalities —extension of municipal services
 - Timber Permit: a statement is required in the feasibility study to document if a timber permit is required. Note: First Nations with their own forestry land code under the First Nations Land Management Act do not need to provide a timber permit assessment.
- viii) Additional Field Investigations and Research: all additional field investigations and/or research that will be carried out during the design stage are to be itemized and the scope of work identified. If no additional work is required prior to initiating the design, this should be clearly stated. ISC design guidelines for road projects provide detailed information regarding specific research and field investigation requirements required for design stage activities.
- ix) Operation and Maintenance: outlines the operation and maintenance activities expected for the preferred option and includes an assessment of the First Nation's capacity to safely and effectively operate and maintain the proposed works. Indicates additional resources and/ or training required to reduce any gaps in the First Nation's capacity. All potential sources of O&M funding and/or supplementary funding from a First Nation's internal resources are to be identified;
- x) Class "D" 20-year life cycle costs (LCC) for each option;

Note: LCC analysis is a mathematical procedure which calculates the total costs (e.g. construction, operation, maintenance, major maintenance and disposal) of an asset in terms of a present value which reflects the effects of monetary interest and price escalation.

In principle when designing any infrastructure asset, the designer/engineer needs to be aware of the O&M funding that the Nation will require to operate and maintain the asset. The objective of the life cycle cost is to inform the First Nation the amount of dollars required on a yearly basis to operate and maintain the asset, proposed roads and drainage works in this case

- xi) Provide life cycle costs comparing the costs of each option;
- xii) Other factors that the consultant considers relevant.
- 4.10 Upon selection of the preferred conceptual layout option the consultant shall undertake studies to address land suitability topics such as:
 - i) Road subgrade;
 - ii) Foundations including that for drainage structures;
 - iii) Drainage;
 - iv) Frost penetration;
 - v) Groundwater conditions;
 - vi) Climate change;
 - vii) Environmental hazards, including but not limited to:
 - o Flooding;
 - Soil stability;
 - Earthquake;
 - Tsunami;
 - Landslide or rockfall;
 - Erosion protection;
 - Flood control; and
 - Other topics the consultant considers relevant.
- 4.11 Review the Design Criteria outlined in the Protocol for ISC-Funded Infrastructure's (PIFI) referenced Codes, Standards, Regulations to determine applicability, conflicts between applicable standards and make a determination which criteria will apply to the project.

Reference: Protocol for ISC-Funded Infrastructure (sac-isc.gc.ca)

- 4.12 For additional standards refer to:
 - British Columbia Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure Supplement to the TAC Geometric Design Guidelines;

Reference: Geometric Design Guidelines for B.C. Roads - Province of British Columbia (gov.bc.ca)

- Adjacent municipal bylaws and development standards, where applicable, e.g. Municipal
 Type Service Agreements (MTSA).
- 4.13 The project team from the consultant should be familiar with the latest EGBC Professional Practice Guidelines and Advisories.

Reference: Guidelines & Advisories (egbc.ca)

- 4.14 The consultant should make a recommendation as to the preferred road alignment, road structural design and road surfacing material based on technical and financial consideration; and must obtain approval from the (name of the) First Nation's representatives.
- 4.15 The cost estimates shall include allowances for construction, engineering and contingencies. The construction cost estimates shall indicate approximate quantities and unit costs. When evaluating alternative solutions the consultant shall bear in mind the objective of minimizing capital cost, and annual operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.
- 4.16 Provide Class 'C' cost estimate for the recommended/ selected option. If multiple upgrades are recommended, a Class 'C' cost estimate should be submitted for each item.
- 4.17 Feasibility Study Report shall include, but limited to:
 - i) Executive Summary;
 - ii) Project description;
 - iii) Project justification;
 - iv) Discussion of existing facilities;
 - v) Proposed level of service standard to be met;
 - vi) Conceptual designs for all options studied along with their associated Class "D" life cycle cost estimates;
 - vii) Class "C" life cycle cost estimate for the preferred conceptual design option;
 - viii) An environmental assessment outline report identifying any potential impacts and mitigation requirements for the duration of the project and its completion;
 - ix) All studies undertaken;
 - x) Where studies were not completed, identify assumptions with respect to soils, existing services/utilities expansion plans etc.;

| | xi) Descriptions, discussions and drawings of the project principal components, identifying | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | the constraints and identifying issues of the project area; | | | | |
| | xii) Drawings included in the project shall include (but not limited to) site location | | | | |
| | | cursory plan drawings of the proposed options, site layout plans, longitudinal profile, | | | |
| | | and cross-section of the recommended components; | | | |
| | xiii) | All drawings shall be prepared in metric units and include the (Name of) | | | |
| | | First Nations logo; | | | |
| | xiv) | A summary of all sub-consultants observations and recommendations with the actual | | | |
| | | sub-consultant reporting documentation included in the project appendix. | | | |
| 4.18 | Draft feas | sibility study report should be submitted to both (name of the) First | | | |
| | Nation ar | nd ISC for review and comments. | | | |
| 4.19 | Final feas | ibility study report should also be shared with First Nation Health Agency (FNHA); and | | | |
| | review comments from FNHA's Engineers/ EHO should be given due consideration when finalizing | | | | |
| | the final | report and during Design. | | | |
| 1.20 | 20 A final feasibility study report must be submitted to both (name of the) First | | | | |
| | Nation and ISC. The report can be submitted as one electronic/ PDF file. However, hardcopies - | | | | |
| | Nos. | (specify # of copies), should also be submitted to (name of the) First | | | |
| | Nation. | | | | |
| 4.21 | The final | report shall be duly authenticated (signed, sealed, dated by the Qualified Professional; | | | |
| | along wit | h confirmation of their firm's registration with Engineers and Geoscientists of British | | | |
| | Columbia | (EGBC) for Permit to Practice. | | | |
| Refer | ence: <u>Gui</u> | de to the Standard for the Authentication of Documents, Version 3.1 (egbc.ca) | | | |
| 1.22 | Consultar | nt shall provide response to ISC review comments and revise the final feasibility study | | | |
| | report, if needed. Revised final feasibility study report must be submitted, if required, before the | | | | |
| | project ca | an move to the Design stage. | | | |
| Note | | y Study Report shall be reviewed by the (name of the) First Nation and I on these Terms of Reference and project review checklist for the feasibility stage. | | | |
| Reference: Project Review Checklists (nautsamawt.org) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

5 REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1 The cost estimates shall include allowances for construction, engineering, and contingencies. The construction cost estimate shall indicate approximate quantities and unit costs. When evaluating alternative designs the consultant shall consider the objective of optimizing capital cost and annual operation and maintenance costs.
- 5.2 All correspondence shall be addressed to the ______ (Name of) First Nation's Project Leader/Project Manager.
- 5.3 The consultant shall review, arrange for, and carry out any field surveys, pump tests, soils investigations and testing required to ensure the technical feasibility of proposed works.
- 5.4 The consultant (and sub-consultants) shall apply their own professional stamp or seal and signature to identify their professional responsibility.
- 5.5 The consultant (and subconsultant) shall apply its corporate EGBC "Permit to Practice" stamp as required by EGBC Guidelines.

6 PROJECT CONSTRUCTION PROCESS

The proposed implementation process to physically construct the proposed project is to be identified in the Feasibility Study. Tendering Policy was recently updated and released on ISC website.

Reference: <u>Tendering policy on federally funded capital projects for First Nations on Reserve (sacisc.gc.ca)</u>

7 PROJECT SCHEDULE

Provide a general schedule of project milestones:

| Project Activity/ Milestone | Date/ Timeline |
|---|----------------|
| FAR/ Feasibility Funding Request | |
| Start of Feasibility Study | |
| Draft Feasibility Study Report | |
| ISC Review of the draft Feasibility Study Report; review comments | |
| (if any); and consultants response to ISC review comments | |
| Final Feasibility Study Report | |

| ISC Review of the Feasibility Study Report; review comments (if | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| any); and consultants response to ISC review comments | | | | |
| DA | R/ Design Funding Request | | | |
| Dra | aft Design Report | | | |
| Fin | al Design Report | | | |
| ISC | Review of the Design Report; review comments (if any); and | | | |
| cor | nsultants response to ISC review comments | | | |
| PA | R/ Construction Funding Request | | | |
| Ter | nder/ award of Work | | | |
| Coı | nstruction completion | | | |
| | | | | |
| 8 | PROJECT COST | | | |
| | | المام الم | | |
| 8.1 | A separate detailed cost spreadsheet shall be provided. This spr | · | | |
| | Professional (QPs), their assigned hours, hourly rates and disburs | | | |
| 8.2 | Assumptions made in the development of the cost proposal shall be a like t | • | | |
| | including sub-consultant invoices (if any) shall not be marked | a-up. All disbursements related to | | |
| 0.2 | travel will be in accordance to Treasury Board Guidelines. | and another than take to according | | |
| 8.3 | The (name of the) First Nation's Project I | | | |
| | costs associated with any task or additional tasks resulting from | an approved scope change. | | |
| | | | | |
| 9 | FIRST NATION'S PROJECT LEADER/ MANAGER | | | |
| The | (name of the) First Nation's project lead is: | | | |
| | (name of the person) | | | |
| | (position of the person) | | | |
| | ress: | | | |
| Tel# | : ; Cell# | | | |
| E-ma | ail: | | | |
| | | | | |

10 FUNDING REQUEST

10.1 The funding submission should be on a Feasibility Application Request (FAR) template.

Reference: ISC Feasibility Application Request (FAR) for Infrastructure Projects-BC Region (nautsamawt.org)

11 CONSULTANT'S PROPOSAL

- 11.1 Consultant's proposals shall be addressed to the ______ (name of the) First Nation's Project Lead.
- 11.2 E-mailed proposals are acceptable.
- 11.3 Consultant/ Engineer's proposal shall be concise and include:
 - a) Work Plan;
 - b) Fee Schedule with breakdown of project activities and project deliverables;
 - c) Table of hours and rates;
 - d) Project schedule;
 - e) Name, qualifications and experience of Qualified Professionals and their roles/ responsibilities on this project; and
 - f) Subcontractors proposals.

12 CONSULTING TEAM QUALIFICATION

- 12.1 The consultant firm or consortium shall have personnel holding membership with the EGBC. All project team members working on the study shall be qualified and experienced in their specific area of responsibility.
- 12.2 The team leader shall hold a membership with the EGBC and demonstrate experience in managing a consulting team for similar projects. The project team will need to show experience in developing designs for roads and drainage studies and investigations.
- 12.3 The project team will need to demonstrate expertise in municipal roads and drainage works; hydro-geological evaluations; geotechnical evaluations; environmental assessments, and preparing designs for other related issues.
- 12.4 A time schedule for project completion.

13 TERMS OF PAYMENT AND COST CONTROL

- 13.1 Payments will be based on the Contract Agreement.
- 13.2 The consultant will, on a monthly (or other approved) interval, submit an invoice detailing the services performed over the billing period.
- 13.3 No payment will be made toward the cost of work incurred to remedy errors and/or omissions for which the consultant is responsible.
- 13.5 At no time shall the contract fee be exceeded prior to written approval by the Project Leader/
 Project Manager.

14 OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 14.2 The Band reserves the rights to reject any or all proposal submissions that do not meet the requirements of the Terms of Reference. There would be no compensation to the professional team for any fees or time related to a proposal submission provided to the First Nation, accepted by the First Nation, or rejected by the First Nation.